

ZSFG Dept of Psychiatry Core Measure Update

Joint Conference Committee of the SF Health Commission 26 April 2016





San Francisco Department of Public Health



Goals for Today



- Review progress towards:
- 1. Improving psychiatric patient care at ZSFG
- 2. Achieving CMS Core Measures Performance
- Discuss current challenges and recommendations



Changes to Inpatient Service To Improve Care and CMS Core Measure Performance



- Focus on Documentation: goals and objectives
- Integrated SW services; Embedded UM
- More systematic interdisciplinary care planning and greater communication/coordination with Placement
- Treatment Programming: Meets/Exceeds Min Standards
 - Weekends same as weekdays
 - 3 professions/d: min of 5 hrs (MD, RN, SW, OT)
 - Individualized, daily treatment schedules
 - Detailed daily documentation of type, frequency, intensity and duration of treatment



Regular Auditing/Quality Compliance Reviews To Improve Care and CMS Core Measure Performance



- Daily (M-F) 60+ Item chart completion review; by Dept of Psychiatry Compliance Analyst.
- UM nurses review charts for medical necessity daily and provides feedback to treatment team
- Monthly Dept compliance audits: 25 charts
 Inpatient Leadership: M Leary, MD; K Ballou, RN, Director of Nursing: C Schwanke, RN, Mgt, and Inpatient Attendings
- Compliance Billing reviews: SFGH (Y Lowe) q 6 months; C Peralta, SFMHP (biannual) for Medi-cal Elements:
 - Covered discharge diagnosis; Plans of Care w/required elements
 - Medical necessity of admission; continued stay
 - Documentation to support charging for Admin Days
- Weekly "Action Plan" leadership mtg; Monthly Dashboard Review



Core Measures & Plan 2016



Measure	Measure Name	Q4 2014	Q1 2015	Q2 2015	Q3 2015	National Rate (CY2014)	SALAR Hard Stop (Q1 2016)
HOSPITAL BA	SED INPATIENT PSYCHIATRY						
HBIPS-1	Admission Screening Completed		92%	88%	97%	Not Avail	
HBIPS-2*	Hours of Physical Restraint Use (per 1000 patient hours)	0.69	0.81	1.09	0.41	0.41	
HBIPS-3*	Hours of Seclusion Use (per 1000 patient hours)	4	6	6	0.62	0.21	
	Action Plan: Reduce Administrative Review of pts in S or R from 24 to 12 hrs. Add to Inpatient Steering agenda and investigate risk factors.						
HBIPS-4	Patients discharged on multiple antipsychotic medications (lower=better)	9%	15%	6%	9.2%	9.4%	SALAR Hard Stop
HBIPS-5	Patients discharged on multiple antipsychotic medications with appropriate justification	40%	42%	40%	50%	37%	SALAR Hard Stop
HBIPS-6	Post discharge continuing care plan created	90%	100%	100%	100%	85%	SALAR Hard Stop
HBIPS-7	Post discharge continuing care plan transmitted to next level of care provider upon discharge	74%	84%	52%	90%	78%	SALAR Hard Stop
SUB-1	Alcohol Use Screening	84%	91%	97%	94%	71%	SALAR Hard Stop
TOB-1	Tobacco Use Screening		95%	97%	99%	Not Avail	SALAR Hard Stop
TOB-2	Tobacco Use Treatment/ Practical Counseling Provided or Offered		0%	0%	0%	Not Avail	SALAR Hard Stop
	Action Plan: SALAR now has "hard stops" for compliance for these measures by March 2016. to be completed before patient is discharged fr documentation required in the Discharge Socia for HBIPS-6 and HBIPS-7, and alerts MD or SW	HBIPS-6 om the ho	is require spital ,printe. The Ps	ed in LCR nted out, a sychiatric	in the Dis and signed	charge Instruct d by patient. F	ions, which need IBIPS-7 is
IMM-2	Influenza Immunization Status (Screened/Administered if Appropriate, Refused)		13%	Not Flu Season	Not Flu Season	Not Avail	
	Action Plan: 1. Change nursing workflow so that patient is screened on admission instead of at time of discharge 2. Nurse Manager will review all admissions for completion of screening process and documentation of patient's acceptance or refusal of immunization 3. If screening or documentation not present,						

CMS National benchmark Source: CMS Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities Quality Reporting Program Preview Report January 2016





Current and Ongoing Challenges....despite changes/gains summarized in 2014...

Recommendations

- Continue to improve utilization of lower level of care(including ADU) resources
- Re-evaluate existing rules that interfere with ADU outplacement of PES medically screened patients and those from inpatient units
- Continue to consider pros and cons of improving "downstream" placement options